

## PROPOSAL:

- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the construction of a 20-storey mid-rise apartment building consisting of 175 dwelling units in Guildford. A variance is proposed to reduce the minimum on-site parking requirement and to increase the permitted lot coverage under the CD Zone.

LOCATION: $\quad 15200$ Guildford Drive
ZONING: CD (Bylaw No. 17032)
OCP DESIGNATION: Multiple Residential
TCP DESIGNATION: Low Rise Transition Residential


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- Approval to draft Development Permit for Form and Character.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Proposal to reduce the minimum parking requirement on-site, under the Zoning Bylaw, to reflect the parking rates supported by Council for Rapid Transit Areas (RTAs).
- Proposed variance to increase the maximum permitted lot coverage under the CD Bylaw.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposal complies with the Development Permit guidelines in the OCP for Form and Character.
- The proposed building achieves an attractive built form which utilizes high quality materials and contemporary lines. The street interface has been designed to achieve a positive urban experience between the proposed building and the public realm.
- The proposed variance to reduce the minimum on-site parking requirement is supported by staff given the proposed parking rate reduction is consistent with the parking rate previously supported by Council for similar developments located within a Rapid Transit Area (RTA).
- The applicant is requesting a $2 \%$ increase in the maximum allowable lot coverage on the subject site, under the CD Zone, in order to accommodate the proposed building footprint.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7921-0153-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix I).
2. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7921-0153-oo (Appendix V) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum on-site parking requirement for non-ground oriented multiple unit residential dwellings to 1.1 parking space per dwelling unit for residents and o.1 parking space per dwelling unit for visitors; and
(b) to vary the maximum permitted lot coverage under CD Bylaw No. 17032 from 40\% to $42 \%$.
3. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final approval:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) approval and input from the Ministry of Transportation \& Infrastructure;
(c) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(d) submission of a finalized landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(f) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) discharge the Restrictive Covenant (No Build) registered on title (CA2287218); and
(h) submission of an acoustical report for the units adjacent to 152 Street and registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure implementation of noise mitigation measures.

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP/TCP <br> Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site | Vacant parcel | Multiple <br> Residential | CD (Bylaw No. <br> 17032 ) |
| North <br> (Across Guildford Drive): | Townhouse development <br> (Guildford Close) | Multiple <br> Residential | RM-45 |
| East and South: | Low-rise apartment <br> buildings (Boulevard <br> Club) | Multiple <br> Residential | CD (Bylaw No. <br> 17032 ) |
| West <br> (Across 152 Street): | Low-rise apartment <br> buildings (Lincoln's Hill) | Multiple <br> Residential/Low <br> Rise Transition <br> Residential | RM-45 |

## Context \& Background

- The subject site, located at 15200 Guildford Drive, is approximately 0.29 hectare in area.
- The site is designated "Multiple Residential" in the Official Community Plan (OCP), "Low Rise Transition Residential" in the Guildford Plan (TCP) and zoned "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" (Bylaw No. 17032).
- The subject property is the last phase in a multi-phase project that included the following:
- Development Application Nos. 5694-0138-oo (Rezoning) and 6794-0138-oo (DP)
- Rezoning to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" and Development Permit (DP) for seven 4 -storey apartment buildings and one 18 -storey highrise apartment building, located on the subject site.
- The original proposal was for an 8-phase multiple residential development. Two 4-storey apartment buildings were constructed in earlier phases on the northern portion of the site while a presentation centre was constructed for the sale of the residential units proposed at the "Boulevard Club", located at 15210/15220 Guildford Drive, on the subject site where the applicant originally proposed the 18 -storey high-rise building.
- The sales centre was subsequently removed from the subject site, which has remained vacant.
- Development Application No. 7909-0060-oo
- Rezoning from CD to CD to allow for an alternate subdivision pattern and to remove some of the restrictions in the original CD Bylaw (e.g. replace the maximum number of dwelling units permitted with a maximum Floor Area Ratio [FAR]).
- These changes were intended to put in place a development framework that could facilitate development on the remainder of the original parent parcel.
- Development Application No. 7913-0230-oo
- The application involved a Development Permit and Development Variance Permit to construct a total of 170 three-storey ground-oriented townhouses (called "Guildford the Great") on the southern and eastern portion of the original development site. The townhouse units have been fully constructed.


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- The applicant proposes a Detailed Development Permit (DP) to allow for the construction of one 20 -storey mid-rise apartment building consisting of 175 dwelling units with underground parking. A variance is required in order to reduce the minimum parking requirement on-site from 279 stalls to 235 stalls.
- Specific details on the development proposal are provided in the table below:

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proposed |  |
| Lot Area |  |
| Gross Site Area: | $2,887.7$ square metres |
| Road Dedication: | N/A |
| Undevelopable Area: | N/A |
| Net Site Area: | $2,887.7$ square metres |
| Number of Lots: | 1 (existing) |
| Building Height: | 61 metres |
| Unit Density: | N/A |
| Floor Area Ratio (FAR): | 4.49 |
| Floor Area |  |
| Residential: | $10,908.92$ square metres |
| Commercial: | N/A |
| Total: | $10,908.92$ square metres |
| Residential Units: |  |
| 1-Bedroom: | 20 dwelling units |
| 1-Bedroom plus den: | 74 dwelling units |
| 2-Bedroom: | 68 dwelling units |
| 2-Bedroom plus den: | 2 dwelling units |
| 3-Bedroom: | 2 dwelling units |
| 3-Bedroom plus den: | 9 dwelling units |
| Adaptable units: | 16 dwelling units |
| Total: | 175 dwelling units |

## Referrals

Engineering:

School District:

Parks, Recreation \&
Culture:

The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix II.

The School District has advised that there will be approximately 7 school-age children generated by this development, of which the School District has provided the following expected student enrollment.

4 Elementary students at Harold Bishop Elementary School 2 Secondary students at Johnston Heights Secondary School

## (Appendix III)

Note that the number of school-age children is greater than the expected enrollment due to students attending private schools, home school or different school districts.

The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by Winter, 2027.

No concerns.
The closest active park is Guildford Recreation Centre Skate Park which is 450 metres walking distance and the closest natural area is Ocean Estates Park which is 900 metres walking distance from the development. Future parkland is proposed within 850 metres walking distance of the subject site, as part of the Guildford Plan.

No concerns.
\& Infrastructure (MOTI):
Surrey Fire Department: No concerns.
Advisory Design Panel: The proposal was considered at the ADP meeting on October 26, 2023 and was supported. The applicant has agreed to resolve the key issues from the ADP review, as outlined in the Development Permit section of this report. Any additional revisions will be completed prior to Council's consideration of Final Adoption of the rezoning by-law, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department.

## Transportation Considerations

- The proposed apartment building will have an underground parkade accessed via a shared driveway with the existing southerly and easterly strata developments (Boulevard Club and Guildford the Great). An easement for shared driveway access was registered on title, as part of Development Application No. 7994-0138-oo, in order to secure this shared driveway access arrangement.
- The subject property is located within approximately 440 metres of an existing bus stop (\#335 - Newton Exchange to Surrey Central Station and \#341 - Newton Exchange to Guildford).
- The Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) maintains jurisdiction over this portion of 152 Street (until Lincoln Drive). As such, MOTI is required to provide input and approval for the proposed development.
- According to industry standard rates, the subject site is anticipated to generate approximately one to two vehicles every minute in the peak hour. The applicant was not required to provide a transportation impact analysis ("TIA") as the number of site-generated trips is below the City's threshold. In addition, an area-wide TIA has been conducted as part of the Guildford Plan, which was a comprehensive evaluation of traffic impacts of development of the Plan area and informed the Plan area servicing strategy.


## Sustainability Considerations

- The applicant has met all of the typical sustainable development criteria, as indicated in the Sustainable Development Checklist.


## POLICY \& BY-LAW CONSIDERATIONS

## Regional Growth Strategy

- The subject property is designated General Urban in the Regional Growth Strategy (RGS).
- The proposed development complies with the General Urban RGS designation.


## Official Community Plan

## Land Use Designation

- The subject property is designated Multiple Residential in the Official Community Plan (OCP).
- Although the density of the proposed development on the subject site exceeds the allowable density under the Multiple Residential OCP designation, no OCP Amendment is proposed or required given the subject site was previously zoned to permit the current form, building height and overall density. No rezoning is required.


## Themes/Policies

- The development proposal supports transit-oriented development, focused growth and increased density within close proximity to transit corridors ( 152 Street and 104 Avenue), which supports transit service expansion and rapid transit infrastructure investment.
- The proposal supports directing higher-density residential land uses to locations within walking distance of neighbourhood centres, along main roads, near transit routes and/or within close proximity to major parks or civic amenities.
- The dwelling units front onto Guildford Drive and 152 Street with urban design features (e.g. ground-floor patio space, upper-storey balconies, internal sidewalks, etc.) that promote a welcoming public streetscape and urban public realm.


## Secondary Plans

## Land Use Designation

- The subject property is designated "Low Rise Transition Residential" in the Guildford Plan (TCP).
- Although the proposal does not comply with the existing land-use designation, no TCP Amendment is proposed or required given the subject site was previously zoned to permit the current form, building height and overall density. No rezoning is required.


## Themes/Objectives

- The Guildford Plan includes a number of family-oriented and affordable housing policies intended to encourage a greater diversity of housing options for different family sizes, types and compositions. These policies include providing a minimum of thirty percent ( $30 \%$ ) of all new dwelling units as two or more bedroom and ten percent ( $10 \%$ ) of all new units as three or more bedroom.
- Staff note that the proposal partially complies with the family-oriented housing policies in the Town Centre Plan (TCP) by providing approximately forty-six percent (46\%) of the dwelling units as two or more bedroom ( 81 dwelling units in total) and six percent ( $6 \%$ ) of the dwelling units as three or more bedroom (11 units in total).


## CD Bylaw No. 17032

- The subject property is regulated by a "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" (Bylaw No. 17032). The CD Zone allows for a high-rise multiple residential development on the site. The current proposal complies with all aspects of the CD Bylaw, with the exception of the on-site parking requirement and maximum permitted lot coverage which will be addressed through a Development Variance Permit (DVP).
- A comparison of the CD Zone (Bylaw No. 17032) and the current proposal is provided in the table below:

| Zoning | CD Zone (Bylaw No. 17032) | Current Proposal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Density: | N/A | N/A |
| Floor Area Ratio: | 4.5 | 4.49 |
| Lot Coverage: | 40\% | 42\% |
| Yards and Setbacks |  |  |
| North Yard East Yard South Yard West Yard | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 1.52 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 1.52 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 7.5 \mathrm{~m} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 1.52 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 1.52 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 7.5 \mathrm{~m} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Principal Building Height: | 61 m . | 61 m . |
| Permitted Uses: | Multiple unit residential buildings, ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings and child care centres | Multiple unit residential buildings, ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings and child care centres |
| Amenity Space |  |  |
| Indoor Amenity: <br> Outdoor Amenity: | $525 \text { sq. m. }$ $525 \text { sq. m. }$ | The proposed $532 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ exceeds the CD Bylaw requirement <br> The proposed $552 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ exceeds the CD Bylaw requirement |
| Parking (Part 5) | Required | Proposed |
| Number of Stalls |  |  |
| Residential: <br> Residential Visitor: Total: | 244 parking spaces 35 parking spaces 279 parking spaces | 213 parking spaces <br> 20 parking spaces <br> 233 parking spaces |
| Bicycle Spaces |  |  |
| Residential Secure Parking: Residential Visitor: | 210 bicycle spaces <br> 6 bicycle spaces | 218 bicycle spaces 6 bicycle spaces |

## Proposed Variances

- The applicant is requesting a variance to reduce the minimum on-site parking requirement, under the Zoning Bylaw, from 279 parking spaces to 233 parking spaces.
- Under the Zoning Bylaw, a total of 279 parking spaces are required based upon 1.3 parking space per dwelling unit for one bedroom units, 1.5 parking space per dwelling unit for 2 or more bedroom units and 0.2 parking space per dwelling unit for visitors.
- The applicant is proposing to provide a rate of 1.1 parking space per dwelling unit for residents and 0.1 parking space per dwelling unit for visitors ( 1.2 per unit in total). The proposed parking reduction is supportable given the subject site is located within a Rapid Transit Area (RTA) and complies with the reduced parking rates, previously endorsed by Council, as part of Corporate Report No. Ru15;2021 ("Parking Update: Rapid Transit Corridors and Rental Housing").
- The applicant further proposes to vary the maximum permitted lot coverage, under CD Bylaw No. 17032 , from $40 \%$ to $42 \%$ in order to accommodate the proposed built form.
- The proposed variance is supportable given that it is only a slight increase over the current maximum permitted lot coverage, under the CD Zone, and typical for a high-rise building on a site of this size.
- Staff support the requested variances to proceed for consideration.


## Capital Projects Community Amenity Contributions (CACs)

- On December 16, 2019, Council approved the City's Community Amenity Contribution and Density Bonus Program Update (Corporate Report No. R224; 2019). The intent of that report was to introduce a new City-wide Community Amenity Contribution (CAC) and updated Density Bonus Policy to offset the impacts of growth from development and to provide additional funding for community capital projects identified in the City's Annual Five-Year Capital Financial Plan. A fee update has been approved in April 2023, under Corporate Report No.Ro37;2023.
- The proposed development will be subject to the Tier 1 Capital Plan Project CACs. The contribution will be payable at the rate applicable at the time of Final Subdivision Approval. The current rate is $\$ 2,136$ per new unit.
- The proposed development will not be subject to the Tier 2 Capital Plan Project CACs given the subject site is already zoned to permit the current form, building height and overall density.


## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

- The Development Proposal Signs were installed on November 18, 2023. To date, staff have not received any responses from adjacent residents or the general public regarding the development proposal.


## DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

## Form and Character Development Permit Requirement

- The proposed development is subject to a Development Permit for Form and Character and is subject to the urban design guidelines outlined within the OCP and the Guildford Plan.
- The proposal generally complies with the Form and Character Development Permit guidelines in the OCP and Guildford Plan.
- The applicant has worked with staff to ensure an appropriate interface between land-uses and to refine the overall building massing to ensure an attractive streetscape that reflects an urban public realm.


## Building Design

- The proposed development includes a 20-storey residential high-rise building with threestorey townhouse units at-grade and consists of 175 market dwelling units with underground parking.
- The proposed building façade reflects a contemporary and dynamic built form with particular attention provided to tower placement, building orientation, and balcony design, reflecting the alignments of the adjacent roads. The upper levels of the tower height taper to create a distinct tower top on the skyline.
- The at-grade townhouse units are designed to wrap around the frontage, separated by a generous setback, accommodating an outdoor amenity space at the intersection of 152 Street and Guildford Drive. The tower features a centralized residential lobby anchored at the northwest corner of the site.
- The street interface was carefully designed to maintain a connection with the public realm while providing a comfortable pedestrian experience for visitors to the building. The landscape treatment at the corner creates a visual screen for the residents from the busy intersection.
- The proposed building materials include architectural concrete, a window wall system with insulated aluminum panels, clear glass, low-E glass as well as spandrel glass in prefinished aluminum frames, prefinished metal doors, fiberglass doors with wood grain texture for the at-grade townhouse units and metal canopies with frosted safety glass.
- The proposed building form adopts a modern architectural vocabulary that, while distinctive, is compatible with the current high-rise typology in Surrey's town centres.


## Proposed Signage

- The applicant is proposing one identification sign along the northern building elevation, just outside the principal lobby entrance. The proposed sign is comprised of architectural coated concrete with pin-mounted brushed aluminum numbers/letters and roughly 1 metre in height.
- Individual unit addresses will be provided outside the private entrance gate, mounted on the architectural coated concrete wall, for each at-grade townhouse unit to assist in wayfinding.
- The proposed signage on-site complies with all aspects of the Surrey Sign By-law including the total sign height, sign area and minimum setback requirement.


## Indoor Amenity Space

- The proposed indoor amenity space is centrally located at-grade and on Level 2 This location provides for greater connectivity between the indoor and adjacent outdoor amenity spaces.
- The indoor amenity space consists of a dining area, game room and lounge/business area on the ground-floor with a yoga studio, gym and steam room on Level 2.
- Based upon the CD Zone (Bylaw No. 17032), the proposed development is required to provide 525 square metres of indoor amenity space. The proposed indoor amenity space is 532 square metres in total area which exceeds the minimum requirement in the CD Zone.


## Outdoor Amenity Space and Proposed Landscaping

- The outdoor amenity space is centrally located and adjacent to the indoor amenity space.
- The applicant is proposing to provide the following outdoor amenity space:
- Level 1: 472.05 square metres; and
- Level 2: 60.23 square metres.
- The proposed outdoor amenity space is 552 square metres in total area which exceeds the minimum outdoor amenity space requirement, per the CD Bylaw, based upon a total of 3 square metres per dwelling unit.
- The outdoor amenity space will consist of a putting green, dog off-leash area, children's playground equipment, urban agricultural area, outdoor kitchen and dining area, lounge furniture and bench seating at-grade with an outdoor amenity deck on Level 2.
- The proposed landscaping has been designed to respond to the urban nature of Guildford Town Centre as an active, pedestrian-friendly space. The overall design considers site circulation and grade change and incorporates an inclusive interface between the public and private realm.
- Each individual ground-oriented townhouse unit will have a small private patio enclosed by a privacy hedge and low-level metal picket fence with layered planting that consists of a by-law sized tree, small shrubs and low-lying groundcover.
- The at-grade townhouse units and penthouse apartments on Level 20 will have access to private rooftop amenity space.
- Exterior lighting will be designed to reduce light pollution as well as provide adequate lighting to ensure community safety, in keeping with CPTED principles.


## Advisory Design Panel

ADP date: October 26, 2023
The applicant has agreed to resolve the remaining outstanding items noted below, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department, before Final Adoption (Appendix VI).

## Outstanding Items

- The applicant is required to resolve all outstanding urban design and landscaping issues and Advisory Design Panel comments, as follows:
- Design development to the architectural material scheme;
- Refinement to the site interfaces; and
- Review of the amenity function.
- The applicant has been provided with a list identifying these requirements and has agreed to resolve these issues prior to Final Approval of the Development Permit, should the application be supported by Council.


## TREES

- Stuart Goode, ISA Certified Arborist of Goode Arboriculture Consulting prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the proposed tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Proposed Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder | 2 | 2 | o |
| Deciduous Trees (excluding Alder Trees) |  |  |  |
| Red Maple | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Red Oak | 1 | o | 1 |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Western Red Cedar | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Spruce | 1 | 1 | o |
| Total (excluding Alder Trees) | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 48 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees Proposed |  | 52 |  |
| Estimated Contribution to the Green City Program |  | N/A |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of nine (9) mature trees on the site, excluding Alder trees. Two (2) existing trees, approximately eighteen percent ( $18 \%$ ) of the total trees on the site, are Alder trees. The applicant proposes to retain four (4) trees as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a proposed total of twenty (20) replacement trees on the site. The applicant is proposing forty-eight (48) replacement trees, thereby exceeding City requirements.
- In addition to the replacement trees, boulevard street trees will be planted on Guildford Drive and 152 Street. This will be determined by the Engineering Department during the servicing design review process.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including Katsura, White Wonder Dogwood, Starlight Dogwood, Tulip Tree, Kobus Magnolia, Red Oak, Columnar European Aspen, Red Oak, Japanese Stewartia and Japanese Snowbell.
- In summary, a total of fifty-two (52) trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site with no contribution required to the Green City Program.
- The proposed tree retention and replacement strategy will be refined as the applicant works through the detailed design process.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:

| Appendix I. | Site Plan, Building Elevation Drawings, Landscape Plans and Perspective |
| :--- | :--- |
| Appendix II. | Engineering Summary |
| Appendix III. | School District Comments |
| Appendix IV. | Summary of Tree Survey, Tree Preservation and Tree Plans |
| Appendix V. | Development Variance Permit No. 7921-0153-oo |
| Appendix VI. | ADP Comments and Response |

approved by Ron Gill

Don Luymes
General Manager
Planning and Development
MJ/ar


## PROJECT DATA

PROJECT SUMMARY:

| CIIIC ADDRESS: | 15200 GUILDFORD DRIVE, SURREY, B.C. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *LEGAL ADDRESS: | LOT C SEC 21 BLOCK 5 NORTH RANGE 1 WEST NWD PLAN LMP27911 |  |  |
| OWNER: | SOLTERRA (GUIDFORD 2) LIMITED PARTNERSHIP |  |  |
| AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION | CITY OF SURREY, B.C. |  |  |
| ZONING OVERVIEW: | PERMITTED | PROPOSED |  |
| ZONING: | CD: (BYLAW NO. 17032) | CD: (BYLAW No. 17032) |  |
| setbacks: |  |  |  |
| FRONT (NORTH) REAR (SOUTH) |  | 7.50 m $\begin{array}{l}7.50 \mathrm{~m} \\ 1.52 \mathrm{~m}\end{array}$ <br> 1.52 m  |  |
| INTERIOR SIDE (EAST) |  | 52 m |  |
| EXTERIOR SIDE (WEST) | 1.52 m 1.52 <br> 7.50  <br> 1.5  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT: | $61 \mathrm{~m} \quad 60.83 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |
| SITE AREA: | 2,887.70 m2 |  |  |
| SITE COVERAGE: | 40\% $42 \%$ | ( (1,223.54 m2) |  |
| TOTAL FLOOR AREA: | n/a 12, | 965.86 m 2 |  |
| floor Space ratio: | 4.50 | 49 |  |
| BUILIING AREA OVERVIEW: |  |  |  |
| NET RESIDENTIAL: INDOOR AMENITIES: OUTDOOR AMENITIES: | 10,908.92 m2 REQUIRED: 525 m 2 PROVIDED: 532.36 m 2 REQUIRED: 525 m 2 PROVIDED: 552.09 m 2 |  |  |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF UNITS: NUMBER OF ADAPTABLE UNITS: | $\begin{aligned} & 175 \\ & 16(9.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| PARKING OVERVIEW: |  |  |  |
| VEHICLE PARKING REQUIREMENTS: |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOADING } \\ & \text { OFF-STREET } \end{aligned}$ | REQUIRED (ZONING) NO REQUIREMENT | $\frac{\text { REQUIRED (CD:RM-70) }}{0}$ | - $\frac{\text { PROVIDED }}{1}$ |
| apartments | MIN. 1.1 PER UNIT $=1.1 \times 175=$ MAX. 1.3 PER UNIT $=1.3 \times 175=$ 0.1 PER UNIT $=0.1 \times 175=$ | $\begin{gathered} 193 \\ \text { c28 } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{217}$ |
| VIIITORS/ CARPOOLS |  |  |  |
| total |  | 211 TO 246 | 235 |
| ACCESSIBLE SPACE | 2\% OF TOTAL SPACES | 5 | 5 |
| PROPOSED VEHICLE PARKING: | REGULAR CAR STALL | Small car stall | ACCESSIBLE STALL |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 52 \\ & 51 \\ & 46 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | 222222 | 0 |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{0}$ |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{1}$ |
| 235 | 220 (96\%) | 10 (4\%) | 5 |
| BICYCLE STORAGE REQUIREMENTS: |  |  |  |
| RESIDENTIAL CLA | SS "A" : 1.2 PER UNIT = 210 SS "B" SPACES = 6 SPACES | 210 6 | 218 6 |



| Total avioumg amea\|agoss) |  | 13.8161789 menem | 148,71502 $\times$ a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Torm decausiows |  | 221579 Meem | 8,8437494 |
| Total meancalect |  | 12,90.60 9ameter | 13,87278 |
|  | AMfatr | 532366 cm | 5.73028 mm |
| excusons | Outiocoimener | Stes. |  |


| RDOH | Unit Tom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { OOR UNITS PE } \\ \text { FLOOH } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | srua | stuaboeen | 150 nea. | 1 naman | 18beos | ${ }^{288}$ | 2 csotan | 388 | 3eatoen |  |
| IOPRoos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hoof ALCO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (evizo pento |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | : |  | $\stackrel{3}{4}$ |
| Evel 18 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 | ${ }_{6}$ |
| uvily |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Livelis |  |  |  |  | 6 | ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Levelis |  |  |  |  | - | 4 |  |  |  | 10 |
| Lvelis |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4 |  |  |  | ${ }^{10}$ |
| Level 13 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{6}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| (tvel2 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4 |  |  |  | 10 |
| Levelio |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4 |  |  |  | la |
| Hevel |  |  |  |  | - 6 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {tivel }}$ Levil |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| ave 6 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| mas |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| tivel |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| lave ${ }^{\text {ave }}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\frac{2}{2}$ | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ |  | I |  |  |
| (ivel (hownoust |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| torim | 0 | 0 |  | u | 74 | ¢ |  | , | 9 |  |


| Total strangat | 2.88.70 sq9 meter | рвmerrew | tantso | 12.984 .5584 .0 mem | 883,2500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total resiocmial ran phoposeb: |  |  | ranres |  | Weansm |

## 






152 STREET


4. SPANDREL GLASS, COLOUR: (WHITE) IN PREFINISHED ALUMINUM FRAME (CHARCOAL GREY)



PRIVACY SCREEN \& MECH. ENCLOUSURELAMINATED BLUE TINTED SAFETY GLASS WITH FROSTING EFFECT


FIBERGLASS DOOR AT WOOD GRAIN TEXTURE

9. PREFINISHED METAL DOORS AND MISC. METAL
COLOUR: (CHARCOAL GREY)


1. COATED ARCHITECTURAL CONCRETE COLOUR: B. MOORE - PM-4 (BRILLIANT WHITE)



## client














| DRAWING UST |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| L-1.0 Landscape Legend + |  |
| L-1.1 Landscape Ste Plan | $3 / 323^{\prime \prime}=1.000$ |
| 1.2 landscape Planting Pla |  |
| L-1.3 Landscape Lighting Plan |  |
| --1.5 Landscapee Level2 |  |
| L-1.6 Landscape Level 3 |  |
| L-1.7 Landscape Roof Plan | $1 / 88^{\prime \prime}=1.00^{\prime \prime}$ |
| L-2.1 Landscape Section | Asslndicated |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Assindic ated }}^{\text {Asindicated }}$ |
| L-3.1 Landscape Details | Assindicated |
| L- 3.2 Landscape Details | Assldicicated |
| L-3.3 Landscpae Details | Assldicicated |
| L- 3.4 Landscpae Details | As lndicated |
| L- 3.5 Landscape Details | Asindicated |
| L- 3.6 Landscape Details | As indicicted |


| GRADING + DRAINAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $+583.00 \mathrm{~m}$ | top of stair |
| +B581.00m | bottom of stair |
| +Tw 83.00m | top of wall |
| +Bw 81.00m | bottom of wall |
| +83.00m | spotelevation |
| +FG 81.50m | finisted grade |
| +BG 81.35m | building grade |
| +HP 88.35m | high point |
| + + 8 81.35m | low point |
| +ex8.75 | exising elevation (as persurvey) |
| .. $2 \%$, | direction/percent sope |
| -...... | breakin sope |
| DNAR | direction//umberof fisers down |
| T182.00m | Trench Drain |
| AD $82.00 \mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{m}$ | Area Drain |

## 

| LANDSCAPE UGGHING |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ! | Recesed Wall (Cas) Light |
| $\bigcirc$ | Low Bolarat Light |
| ю | Sconce Light |
| (6) | Up Light with et -at water feature |













Ld-1 MultiplicITY Table and Bench - Landscpae Forms SCALE NTS


Ld.2 Smart Play Cube - Habitat Systems SCALENTS




Aylesbury Park Bench - Wishbone Site Furnishing sCALE NTS
West End Waste Receptacle - Wishbone Site Furnishings sCALE NTS

Loop 2 SPace Bike rack
 Nomith



Loop 2 Space Bike Rack - Wishbone Site Furnishings

15200 GUILDFORD DRIVE, SURREY, BC
file \#: 7921-0153-00

| Drawn br: | sc |
| :---: | :---: |
| Checked by: | sv |
| Dote: | Nov 28.2023 |
| Scole: | as notcated |
| Drowing tite: |  |
| Landsc Details |  |

20047

- 3.1


Ld.1
Outdoor kitchen with gas BBQ -Amenity Deck
Scale: $3 / 4^{4}=1-1^{1-0 "}$



Par Potting Table -Amenity Deck
Scale: $1^{1 "}=1^{1-0}$


FLARE
FIREPLACES

| fLARE VENT-FAEE SEETHEOUGH TOR WITH 16* GLASS OUTDODR FIMEMLACE SKU:VEST70 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Suetct | petans |
| GUSTPE OPTIONS | Nuyud Gn (MG) or Lquyd Prowne (9) |
| Firsbox constructon | Sombination of 3.68 \& 3.04 Sames steed |
| Saters stanord |  |
| gas valvessitem | Heneweeteletuent igision Veve Spieer |
| Lectrical requirments |  |
| frepuce openmg hegits |  |
| UGGting sism |  |
| bunner(s) | Samenseel Surre(3) |
| WElGht | INCMTE LLSS) UNCUTEO (HS) |
|  | 2200 |
| Interior medu options | Cuatiomenmama |

Vent free Product Specinations



 the timataonnanval, while comprive
 PARTS INCUDED WTHT FIREPLCE PURCHMSE OPTONAL ADD-ON ACCESSONIES AND FEATURES : S'Wint Giard Tompered Guss foral - Kellocive fack and Sice Panel(S)

- CDisec Meds Stetecion
- Power Adoper

- Natural Ges or uquid Pnempene Comversan na

- 

Double-sided fire place
$\frac{\text { Nou }}{\text { NTS }}$

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


td.1 Private residence picket Gate \& Fence
SCALE $I^{1 "=1-0^{\prime \prime}}$


[^0]

6' High Privacy Screen
SCALE $1^{\prime \prime}=1^{1}-0^{\prime \prime}$

td-3 Outdoor kitchen on Rooftop SCALE $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}=1-0^{\prime \prime}$


TO: Director, Area Planning \& Development

- North Surrey Division

Planning and Development Department
FROM: Development Services Manager, Engineering Department

DATE: November 27, $2023 \quad$ PROJECT FILE: 7821-0153-oo
RE: $\quad$ Engineering Requirements
Location: 15200 Guildford Dr

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT/DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

The following are requirements associated with Development Permit, and are conditions of the subsequent Building Permit issuance of the proposed building.

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Applicant to confirm with the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure regarding if any dedication or construction requirements.


## Works and Services

- Construct sidewalk upgrades along Guildford Drive, if required.
- Provide downstream Storm and Sanitary system analysis, and address an capacity constraint (s) as required.
- Construct adequately-sized service connections (water, storm and sanitary), complete with inspection chambers/water meter, to the lot. Abandonment of surplus connections), if any, is also required.

A Servicing Agreement may be required.

Appendix III

## LEADERSHIP IN LEARNING

| Department: | Planning and Demographics |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: | October 30, 2023 |
| Report For: | City of Surrey |




Note: If this report is provided in the months of October, November and December, the 10 -year projections are out of date and they will be updated in January of next year.

| 2500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1700 \times 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 2021 2022 2024 2025 2026 2027  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Population : The projected population of children aged 0-17 impacted by the development.
Enrolment: The number of students projected to attend the Surrey School District ONLY.

Arborist Report | 15200 Guildford Drive, Surrey B.C.

Table 3 - On-site Tree Protection and Replacement Summary

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :--- | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified - on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and <br> proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas. | 10 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 5 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained - excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian <br> areas. | 5 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> $\bullet \quad$ Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1:1 Replacement Ratio = 2 <br> $\bullet \quad$ All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio = 5 | 12 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed |  |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | See landscape Plan |
| Protected Trees to be retained in proposed open space /riparian areas | See landscape Plan |

Table 4 - Off-site Tree Protection and Replacement Summary

| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :--- | :---: |
| Protected Off-site Trees to be removed | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> $\bullet \quad$ Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1:1 Replacement Ratio = <br> $\bullet \quad$ All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio = | 0 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed |  |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |

Summary and Plan Prepared by Goode Arboriculture Consulting


## LEGEND

tree to be retained
tree to be removed ROOT PROTECTION ZONE（RPZ） TREE PROTECTION BARRIER（TPB） tree canopy area（TCA） REPLACEMENT TREE BC HYDRO CONNECTION －（O）SANITARY CONNECTION $\triangle$ STORM WATER CONNECTION ＊GAS CONNECTION $\rightarrow$ WATER CONNECTION $\mathrm{S}=$ Shared tree $\mathrm{AB}=$ C City tree O


ARBORIST NOTES \＆ RECOMENDATIONS

Replacement coniferous tres must be 3 m in
height and deciduous trees must be 8 cm in caliper size．
Small growin
Small growing trees should not be planted within
3 m of foundations，tences or outbuildings．Large growing species should be op olatteduldings． 4 m away trom building foundations．
Replacement trees should Replacement trees should also be planted 1 m
away from property lines and 3 m away tro another tree and not planted in a hedge like manner．
Trees with mature heights greater than 5 m
should not be planted under overhead utility should not be planted under overhead utitity
lines and within 3 m of the power lines． lines and within 3 m of the power lines．
Grade changes are not recommended within any
tree protection barriers unless specified in tree protection barriers unless specifif Arborist Tree Preservation Report． carried out within any tree protection barrier Tree stumps are not to be removed by excavator
around retained onsite and neighboring trees． Remove stumps with a stump grinder．


GロロDE ARBロRICULTURE CロNSULTING


# DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT 

NO.: 7921-0153-oo
Issued To:
(the "Owner")

Address of Owner:

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: 023-420-201
Lot C Section 21 Block 5 North Range 1 West New Westminster District Plan LMP27911
15200 - Guildford Drive
(the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) In Table D. 1 of Part 5, Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading, the minimum on-site parking requirement for non-ground oriented multiple unit residential
dwelling units is reduced to 1.1 parking space per dwelling unit for residents and o. 1 parking space per dwelling unit for visitors; and
(b) To vary the maximum permitted lot coverage, under CD Bylaw No. 17032, from $40 \%$ to $42 \%$.
5. This development variance permit applies to only that portion of the buildings and structures on the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the existing buildings shown on attached Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.

## AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL/DELEGATED OFFICIAL, THE DAY OF , 20 .

ISSUED THIS DAY OF , 20 .

Mayor - Brenda Locke

City Clerk - Jennifer Ficocelli


# Advisory Design Panel Minutes 

Present:
Panel Members:
G. Brumpton, Chair
M. Cheung
M. Mitchell
J. Packer
Y. Popovska
B. Ransford
R. Salcido

## Guests:

Emily Kearns, Ankenman Associates Architects Inc.
Cam Woodruff, PMG Landscape Architects
Foad Raffii, Raffii Architects Inc
Stephen Vincent, Durante Kreuk Ltd.
Rajinder Warraich, Flat Architecture
Caelan Griffiths, PMG Landscape Architects

## Staff Present:

A. McLean, City Architect
V. Goldgrub, Urban Design Planner
N. Chow, Urban Design Planner
A. Yahav, Clerk 3
2. 4:15 p.m.

File No.:
New or Resubmit:
Last Submission Date:
Description:
Address:
Developer:
Architect:
Landscape Architect:
Planner:
Urban Design Planner:

7921-0153-00
New
N/A
Detailed Development Permit for one 20 -storey apartment building consisting of 175 dwelling units with underground parking. 15200 Guildford Drive (Guildford)
Alex Putrenko, Solterra (Guildford 2) Development Corp
Foad Raffii, Raffii Architects Inc
Stephen Vincent, Durante Kreuk Ltd.
Misty Jorgensen
Nathan Chow

The Urban Design Planner advised that staff generally support the project.
The Panel was asked to comment on the overall site planning, pedestrian and vehicular movement, architectural expression, overall landscape concept, and public realm interfaces.

The Project Architect presented an overview of the site planning, streetscapes, building concept, and 3D Views.

The Landscape Architect presented an overview of the general concept for the Landscape design.

## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL STATEMENT OF REVIEW

It was
Moved by B. Ransford
Seconded by M. Cheung
That the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) SUPPORT
the project and recommends that the applicant address the following issues to the satisfaction of the Planning \& Development Department.

Carried

Key Points

- Consider options to better integrate the three architectural elements of the project -podium, tower and off-set balconies. Current design is per city staff direction and we are in agreement with it. Nevertheless, the architectural details will be further refined.
- $\quad$ Reconsider some design elements on the façade, such as the overall white colour and varied glazing colours. Current design is per city staff direction and we are in agreement with it. Nevertheless, the architectural details will be further refined.
- Consider options to mitigate effects of overlook and other privacy concerns with the adjacent buildings. Planter and hedging to be added on rooftop deck, privacy / obscure glass in TH bedrooms' widows. Privacy fence to be added along sidewalk across neighbouring property.
- $\quad$ Consider reorganizing the loading ramp for optimal functionality. We agree with this comment and will address this item with the following:
- Transformation of the loading bay entrance into a more aesthetic and functional second building entrance
- Addition of pedestrian pathway with decorative paving
- Addition of a drop-off / pick-up area for deliveries
- Consider options to mitigate noise and smell (pet area) in the amenity areas. Current design is optimal, that being said, further mitigation features will be explored.
- Reconsider the use of gas as an energy source. Controls (timers, etc) to be added to outdoor fireplace to improve energy use efficiency.

Site

- Consider relocating the amenity areas from street frontage to southern side to provide more comfortable and usable areas. Current design is most optimal.
- Consider other possible location options for the kids' play area, currently located on the second-floor podium, to account for any safety concerns. Presently the kid's play area is located on the main floor of the podium; the area
is single entry from inside the proposed development. While the proposed fencing meets code requirements, a higher fence will be specified.
- Consider instituting a more official lobby entry and pedestrian circulation route that is separate from the loading bay. Concern addressed within loading bay concerns section.
- Consider reviewing the pick-up and drop-off loading areas to ensure parking is sufficient for deliveries, mail, and Uber pickup. Concern addressed within loading bay concerns section.
- Consider conducting a new traffic impact study to ensure the outcome of all new conditions. A traffic study has been conducted during the rezoning process. Most recently, another review was conducted, a memo from which indicates that the additional traffic flow from the proposed development will not trigger (per city threshold) the need to conduct a TIA. The memo has been provided to city staff.
- Reconsider the volume of the parkade to reduce the number of levels. Due to subsequent MOTI comments regarding setting the current proposed parkade outline inwards by 3 m , it will not be possible to decrease the volume of the parkade while maintaining the minimum prescribed parking stall count.

Form and Character

- Consider incorporating some elements within the façade to connect the three buildings elements vertically and to unify them. Architectural details will be further refined.
- Reconsider the articulation of the townhouse exterior column. Architectural details will be further refined via addition of planters within townhouse terraces and adjusting column form.
- Consider implementing further privacy measures at the front entry near the townhouse. The item is to be address be the addition of hedges to be between townhome patios, as well as areas fronting sidewalk.
- Consider incorporating an accent colour or texture to the façade to serve as an identifiable landmark on the approach to the intersection. Current design is per city staff direction and we are in agreement with it. Nevertheless, the architectural details will be further refined.
- Reconsider the white painted concrete. Current design is per city staff direction and we are in agreement with it. Nevertheless, the architectural details will be further refined.
- Reconsider the varied colours of the glass elements. We will ensure to standardize colours and ensure rendering representation is accurate and consistent.
- Consider using brick for the townhouse cladding. Current design is per city staff direction and we are in agreement with it. Nevertheless, the architectural details will be further refined.

Landscape

- Consider reviewing supplementary berming or noise mitigation on the property line periphery to reduce noise and traffic. Current design is efficient; in order to further mitigate the noise and lights pollution, additional, larger trees will be added within the North West corner in order to mitigate noise and light cause from street traffic.
- Consider additional tree planting at the dog area and at the golf putting green to provide a substantial buffer. Current design is efficient; in order to further mitigate the noise and lights pollution, additional, larger trees will be added within the North West corner in order to mitigate noise and light cause from street traffic.
- Recommend reviewing traffic headlights and screening for residential areas at intersection. Current design is efficient; in order to further mitigate the noise and lights pollution, additional, larger trees will be added within the North West corner in order to mitigate noise and light cause from street traffic.
- Consider providing additional buffer between neighbouring buildings and the walkway on south. A fence along the walkway is to be added; additional planting to be considered. Note that the pathway design has been created at request of neighbouring property.
- Consider supplementary planting and screening between the rooftop patios and the neighbouring existing buildings to reduce overlook and mitigate privacy issues. Current landscape drawing call for hedges and screening between rooftop patios to mitigate piracy issues; additional hedges are to added between townhome rooftops and townhome entry terraces.
- Consider the addition of seating pods in the outdoor kitchen area to provide more space for small groups and individuals. Bar top tables and stools to be added in outdoor area.
- Consider the addition of a barbecue in the outdoor kitchen area, and similarly, a counter tops and functional cooking spaces. BBQ located in outdoor kitchen area is already present on provided ADP drawing set.
- Recommend relocating the bike racks to an area in a closer line of sight. Alternate location for bike racks to be considered.
- Ensure effective diversity in tree and shrub species for 4 season interest and optimum wildlife habitat. Current design is in in line with the outlined requirements. The addition of large, coniferous trees at North West corner will further address this concern.
- Ensure all trees have adequate soil volume and depth. Current design is already per outlined requirements.


## CPTED

- $\quad$ No specific issues were identified. Noted


## Sustainability

- Consider the location of HRVs and heat pumps, accounting for enough physical space to benefit from higher efficiency, lower noise, better filtration, and (critically) summer bypass. Comments noted; our mechanical engineer has confirmed that the VRF system must remain in the lower level location in order to meet capacity requirements.
- Consider electrification of the hot water system. Current system meets city bylaws
- Consider alternatives to gas for the outdoor fireplace. Current system meets city bylaws, a timer will be added to reduce consumption
- Consider moving air intakes away from the busy street, including the parkade. Air intakes will be moved away from $152^{\text {nd }}$ street and relocated to South East of site.
- Consider options to ensure safe entry and exit from the bike maintenance room. In order to address this comment, a pony wall will be added on the exterior of the bike maintenance room in order to increase safety of entry and protect from exterior elements.
- Recommend providing both internal and exterior E-bike parking. To be considered.
- Consider details of the central recycling room to encourage proper disposal of waste, such as being well-lit, having sorting tables, and having pictograms to address language barriers. Noted
- Consider alternatives to turf grass. Current design is optimal as turf grass will be used for putting green and portion of dog off-leash park, areas with uses where this surface is put to best use. Artificial turf grass is low maintenance and requires little to no inputs, making it a sustainable solution in some situations. Areas where turf grass is specified are shady high traffic areas. Attempting to maintain live turf in these areas would not be sustainable.
- Consider stormwater management. To be considered.
- Consider implementing a car wash system that recycles water. To be considered.

Accessibility

- Consider options to create barrier-free access to all areas on the ground floor, dog park, kids' play area, and outdoor amenity spaces, especially to areas only accessed with steps. The current design provides barrier-free access to all amenity areas from within the core. The secondary entrance (loading bay) also includes a lift.


[^0]:    Elevation

[^1]:    Note: If this report is provided in the months of October, November and December, the 10-year projections are out of date and they will be updated in January of next year.

